Act 2 - Status Check 2 (Plan of Operation Requirement)

Directions and Resources for Status Check 2

Only type in the yellow cells.

Status Tracker Directions:

1. Rate the overall status of each improvement strategy:
Strong - on track;
At Risk - requires some refinement and/or support; or
Needs Immediate Attention - requires immediate support 2. Identify specific Lessons Learned (Now), Next Steps, and Needs

Note: The status you enter from the drop-down lists will automatically update the accompanying cell on the Master Tracker tab.

School Name: Joseph Neal STEAM Academy

School Name: Joseph Neal STEAM Academy					
Inquiry Area 1 - Student Success School Goal: Increase the percent of all student groups so	coring at or above the 40th percentile from 50% to 60% by				
winter and 68% by spring, as measured by MAP Growth A	Assessments.	Status	Now (Lessons Learned)	Next (Next Steps)	Need
Improvement Strategies	Intended Outcomes/Formative Measures	Are we implementing the improvement strategy as planned?	What does our progress monitoring data reveal about progress toward our goal? What are we learning as we implement our improvement strategies? What challenges with implementation and gaps in performance are we noticing?	What specific actions do we need to take to address the challenges and performance gaps we've identified? By when? By whom?	
Improvement Strategy: Teachers will utilize enVisions Common Core 2020, with assistance from learning strategists, to support instruction in the math curriculum and rigorous alignment to SBAC aligned expectations lABs. Teachers will focus on specific expectations late are part of their SC orbute, ensuring that students can articulate and understand the problem draw and labels, allow their workfloods, oxplain their drawn and accuracy.	Intended Outcomes: Students will increase proliciency on MAP Mathematics. This will decrease the number of at risk students in mathematics.	Strong	We saw that consistent delivery of effective Tier in instruction was viral in student growth and understanding of standards based grade level expectations, as evidenced in our Winter MAP Growth in every grade level except one. There was double-digit growth in Kinder, Second, and Third. We are focusing on teachers planning their core subject lessons using resources criteria. There will be a continued focus on setting high expectations, consistently using math manipulatives (concrete and virtual), using academic vocabulary during instruction, and demonstrating understanding and knowledge of concepts through student focus on a defense will be accountable in discourse. Teachers will be accountable in discourse, teachers will be accountable in differentiate for students' needs. There was a need to increase the knowledge of the Standards of Mathematical Practices (SMPs), especially accuracy and precision, targeting academic vocabulary, implementing productives and using the Math Framswork. Timely ecebacks will be provided to help students make adjustments to learning within daily lessons.	Action Steps: 1. Ther 1 instruction with a focus on teaching high priority standards. 2. Confluxed focus on a rigorous Math SLG requiring students to use a comprehensive rubbre requiring students to use a comprehensive rubbre requiring students to use a comprehensive rubbre requiring a focus on precision, showing their work, and explaning their reasoning when solving math problems. Students use the rubbre throughout each unit and are assessed each month for growth to meet the class SLG goals. 3. Considently implement Tier II mathematics strategies and scaffolds with cohesive/prescribed materials in small groups trategies and scaffolds with cohesive/prescribed materials in small groups. 4. Teachers meet weekly during PLCs to review data, develop instructional strategies, common assessments, rubbrics, and lesson plans. 5. After analyzing mid-year benchmark assessments, teachers will use Numeracy Consultants Diagnostics, Fastbridge screening drive instruction and identify small groups for intervention purposes. 6. After analyzing Winter MAP Data, Learning strategists, CTTs, and classroom teachers will work with students who fall below the 40th percentile in mathematics for targeted intervention. 7. Students who scored between the 45th percentile and 68th percentile on the Winter MAP Assessment vall be invited to participate MAP Assessment so align the rigor and formats that students have been exposed to before state testing. 9. Teachers in grades 8.4.5 will model and then practice with therethy practice skills and local problem solving practice (led to SLG). 10. Teachers will clearly explain the proprietic with the students have been exposed to before state testing.	Administration and Strategists will calendar the action steps:(math training, PLC planning, Tutoring opportunity, SLG's - math focused, grade level interventions and supports for at risk students). PLC planning will focus on sides/presentations that will be used for Tier 1 instruction aligned to the high priority standards. Utilize Winter Benchmark Data to plan for differentiated groupings: Continue providing prescriptive Tier II instruction with consistent, appropriate interventions using research based interventions and instructional methods during small group lessons and tutoring.
				man and EB (16650/16).	
Inquiry Area 2 - Adult Learning Culture				medical de LE Accession.	
Inquiry Area 2 - Adult Learning Culture School Goal: By the end of the school year, 100% teachet templates to track student data and adjust instruction bas	rs will use Professional Learning Communities (PLC) ed on data.			The state of the s	
School Goal: By the end of the school year, 100% teacher	rs will use Professional Learning Communities (PLC) ed on data. Intended Outcomes/Formative Measures	Status	Now (Lessons Learned)	Next	Need
School Goal: By the end of the school year, 100% teacher templates to track student data and adjust instruction base	ed on data.	Status Strong	Now (Lessons Learned) PLC meetings have been scheduled and consistently attended by all grade levels. Effective PLC planning has been foundational in increasing the collective efficacy of each grade level and the school at large. PLC planning will continue to focus on developing effective Tier I instruction, purposeful and productive student engagement strategies including student discourse opportunities and scaffolding for student groups that struggle to master Tier 1 concepts. There is still a need to continue curriculum support by strategists and administration within PLC conversations and beyond.		Need Strategically utilize PLC planning and strategist support to meet our goals for end of year MAP and SBAC assessments, as well as SLG, for Grades 3-5. Grades K-2 will focus on meeting goals for Spring MAP Assessment and SLG. If PLC, we will be collaboratively planning with grade levels to map out instruction for the remainder of the year.
School Goal: By the end of the school year, 100% teache templates to track student data and adjust instruction base Improvement Strategies Improvement start start strategies Improvement start star	Intended Outcomes/Formative Measures Intended Outcomes: Effective planning will help decrease the percentage of students scoring at or below the 40th percentile on MAP Math Growth Assessment		(Lessons Learned) PLC meetings have been scheduled and consistently attended by all grade levels. Effective PLC glanning has been foundational in increasing the collective efficacy of each grade level and the school at large. PLC planning will continue to focus on developing effective Ter instruction, purposeful and productive student engagnent strategies including student discourse opportunities and scaffolding for student groups that struggle to master Tier 1 concepts. There is still a need to continue curriculum support by strategists and administration within PLC conversations and	Next (Next Steps) Strategically utilize PLC planning time to meet the differentiated needs evident in each grade level based on nogoing data analysis (RTI Walk to Learn, SLG, Winter MAP, teacher formatile and summative assessments,	Strategically utilize PLC planning and strategies support to meet our goals for end of year MAP planning and strategies as the strategies of the strategies
School Goal: By the end of the school year, 100% teache templates to track student data and agist instruction bas improvement Strategies Improvement Strategies We will improve the quality and consistency of the PLCs using a common format for all meetings. Our goal was specifically set for the end of the year, so we are still implementing structural improvements and staff training for expected PLC impact in instructional design and responsive data analysis. We have surpassed our overall and an advantage of the staff training for expected PLC impact in instructional design and responsive data analysis. We have surpassed our overall and the staff training for expected PLC impact in instructional of the staff training for packet in the staff training for the staff traini	Intended Outcomes/Formative Measures Intended Outcomes: Effective planning will help decrease the percentage of students scoring at or below the 40th percentile on MAP Math Growth Assessment culate, follow, and earn rewards for the schoolwide		(Lessons Learned) PLC meetings have been scheduled and consistently attended by all grade levels. Effective PLC planning has been foundational in increasing the collective efficacy of each grade level and the school at large. PLC planning will continue to focus on developing effective Tier Instruction, purposeful and productive student engagment strategies including student discourse opportunities and scaffolding for student groups that struggle to master Tier 1 concepts. There is still an end to continue curriculum support by strategists and administration within PLC conversations and beyond.	Next (Next Steps) Strategically utilize PLC planning time to meet the differentiated needs evident in each grade level based on ongoing data analysis (RTI. Walk to Learn, SLG, Winter MAP, teacher formative and summative assessments, administrative observations, focal point walks).	Strategically utilize PLC planning and strategies support to meet our goals for end of year MAP planning and strategies as the strategies of the strategies
School Goal: By the end of the school year, 100% teache templates to track student data and adjust instruction base Improvement Strategies Our goal was specifically sat for the end of the year, so we are still improvements and design and responsive data analysis. We have surpassed our overall target for data improvement thus far for students in Math. We have also implemented common PLC scheduling, yet there are Adult Learning needs for PLC consistency and more time allotment needed to have effective collaboration within the PLC template expectations. Inquiry Area 3 - Connectedness Increase the percent of K-5 students who can verbally art respectations within the 4 Bee's Martix, as measured by the standard of the standard	Intended Outcomes/Formative Measures Intended Outcomes: Effective planning will help decrease the percentage of students scoring at or below the 40th percentile on MAP Math Growth Assessment culate, follow, and earn rewards for the schoolwide		(Lessons Learned) PLC meetings have been scheduled and consistently attended by all grade levels. Effective PLC glanning has been foundational in increasing the collective efficacy of each grade level and the school at large. PLC planning will continue to focus on developing effective Ter instruction, purposeful and productive student engagnent strategies including student discourse opportunities and scaffolding for student groups that struggle to master Tier 1 concepts. There is still a need to continue curriculum support by strategists and administration within PLC conversations and	Next (Next Steps) Strategically utilize PLC planning time to meet the differentiated needs evident in each grade level based on nogoing data analysis (RTI Walk to Learn, SLG, Winter MAP, teacher formatile and summative assessments,	Strategically utilize PLC planning and strategist support to meet our goals for end of year MAP programmers of the planning and strategist support to meet our goals for Spring MAP Assessment and SLG. PLC, we will be collaboratively planning with grade levels to map out instruction for the
School Goal: By the end of the school year, 100% teache templates to track student data and adjust instruction base Improvement Strategies Our goal was specifically set for the end of the year, so we are still implementing structural improvements and staff training for expected PLC Impact in instructional staff training for expected PLC impact in instructional control of the staff training for expected PLC impact in instructional control of the staff training for expected PLC impact in instructional PLC scheduling, yet there are Adult Learning needs for PLC consistency and more time allotment needed to have effective collaboration within the PLC template expectations. Inquiry Area 3 - Connectedness Increase the percent of K-5 students who can verbally at respectations within the 4 Bee's Martix, as measured by the 2024, to 60% by Winter 2025, to 70% by Spring 2025.	Intended Outcomes/Formative Measures Intended Outcomes: Effective planning will help decrease the percentage of students scoring at or below the 40th percentile on MAP Math Growth Assessment culate, follow, and earn rewards for the schookvide e PBIS Tiered Fidelity Inventory from 6% to 50% by Fall	Strong	(Lessons Learned) PLC meetings have been scheduled and consistently attended by all grade levels. Effective PLC planning has been foundational in increasing the collective efficacy of each grade level and the school at large. PLC planning will continue to focus on developing effective Ter instruction, purposeful and effective Ter instruction, purposeful and scaled in the school at large. PLC planning student discourse opportunities and scaffolding for student groups that struggle to master Tier 1 concepts. There is still a need to continue curriculum support by strategists and administration within PLC conversations and beyond.	Next (Next Steps) Strategically utilize PLC planning time to meet the differentiated needs evident in each grade level based on ongoing data analysis (RTI. Walk to Learn, SLG, Winter MAP, teacher formative and summative assessments, administrative observations, focal point walks). Noxt (Next Steps) Continue implementing Action Steps: 1. The PBIS Team, Administration, and Counselor will provide Professional Development for the entire staff on the PBIS Z-The PBIS Team and staff will develop a common behavioral expectation matrix, lesson	Strategically utilize PLC planning and strategist support to meet our goals for end of year MAP and SBAC assessments, as well as SLG, for support of the strategist of the str